IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION

No. 5:06-CR-00080-F-1 No. 5:13-CV-00133-F

DANIEL LEON PERRY, JR.,)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	ORDER
)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Respondent.)	

This matter is before the court on the Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R")

[DE-55] of United States Magistrate Judge Robert T. Numbers, II, regarding Daniel Leon Perry,

Jr.'s Motion to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct Sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 [DE-47,

DE-48]¹.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). This court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the recommendation to which specific objections are made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the Magistrate Judge's recommendation, or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In the absence of a timely-filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must "only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416

¹Perry's initial attempt to initiate a claim for relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 was a non-conforming document [DE-47], which was filed on February 25, 2013. At the court's direction, Perry filed a "conforming" motion [DE-48] on February 27, 2013.

F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005).

On July 22, 2015, the Magistrate Judge issued a M&R recommending that the Government's Motion to Dismiss [DE-50] be granted and that Perry's Motion to Vacate [DE-47, DE-48] be denied. The Magistrate Judge advised the parties of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the M&R and the consequences if they failed to do so. Perry has filed no objections, and the time for doing so expired on August 10, 2015.

Upon careful review of the M&R and of the record generally, and having found no clear error, the court hereby ADOPTS the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. It is therefore ORDERED that the Government's Motion to Dismiss [DE-50] is ALLOWED, and Perry's \$ 2255 motion [DE-47, DE-48] is DENIED. The court has reviewed the arguments raised, and in light of the applicable standard, a certificate of appealability is DENIED.

SO ORDERED.

This, the 12^{4} day of August, 2015.

James C. Fox

Senior United States District Judge